## SPIDER: A Fault-Tolerant Bus Architecture

#### Lee Pike

Formal Methods Group NASA Langley Research Center lee.s.pike@nasa.gov

May 11, 2005



Lee Pike SPIDER: A Fault-Tolerant Bus Architecture

- Safety-critical distributed x-by-wire applications are being deployed in inhospitable environments.
- Failure rates must be on the order of 10<sup>-9</sup> per hour of operation.

### Integration

- Off-the-shelf application integration
- Off-the-shelf fault-tolerance
- Eliminate redundancy
- Partitioning
  - Fault-partitioning
  - Modular certification
- Predictability
  - Hard real-time guarantees
  - A "virtual" TDMA bus

<sup>1</sup>John Rushby's A Comparison of Bus Architectures for Safety-Critical Embedded Systems

- TTTech's Time-Triggered Architecture (TTA)
- Honeywell's SAFEbus
- FlexRay (being developed by an automotive consortium)
- NASA Langley's Scalable Processor-Independent Design for Enhanced Reliability (SPIDER)



#### "Time turns the improbable into the inevitable"

Permanent Investigators

- Alfons Geser (formerly National Inst. of Aerospace)
- Jeffrey Maddalon (NASA)
- Mahyar Malekpour (NASA)
- Paul Miner (NASA)
- Radu Siminiceanu (National Inst. of Aerospace)
- Wilfredo Torres-Pomales (NASA)
- Industry Partners
  - DSI, Inc.
  - National Institute of Aerospace

# SPIDER Architecture



- Fault-tolerant time-reference and synchronization
- Diagnostic consensus and reconfiguration
- (Application-level) reintegration
- Communication with guaranteed consensus and latency

- Self-Test Mode
- Initialization Mode
  - Initial Diagnosis
  - Initial Synchronization
  - Collective Diagnosis
- Preservation Mode
  - Clock Synchronization
  - Collective Diagnosis
  - PE Communication
- Reintegration Mode

Continuous on-line diagnosis...

- Nonfaulty The correct message is received at the scheduled time.
- Benign The message is detectably faulty by all receivers:
  - The message is received is outside the communication window.
  - The message is corrupted (or not present).
- **Symmetric** All receivers detect the same fault.
- Asymmetric (Byzantine) The messages received are arbitrary (in time and value).
- Omissive Asymmetric Each receiver determines the sender to be either nonfaulty or benign.

- For each BIU or RMU *i*, let *E<sub>i</sub>* be *i*'s *eligibility set*: the set of nodes *i* believes to be nonfaulty.
- Let N be the set of nonfaulty nodes.
- Let *B* be the set of benign nodes.
- Let A be the set of asymmetric nodes.
- 1.  $2|N \cap E_i| > |E_i \setminus B|$  for all nodes *i*.
- 2.  $|A \cap E_r| = 0$  for all RMUs r, or  $|A \cap E_b| = 0$  for all BIUs b.

- ► Fault-injection testing cannot demonstrate 10<sup>-9</sup> reliability
- Criticality warrants effort
- Complexity warrants effort
- Formal methods being integrated into certification standards
- Improved and structured design and understanding

### Modeling faults

- Variety of faults and locations
- Nondeterminism in when they occur and duration
- Protocol/mode interaction and interdependence
- Protocols are distributed
- Protocols are real-time
- Varying degrees of synchrony

- Mechanical theorem-proving PVS (SRI)
- Model-checking and decision procedures
  - ► SAL (SRI)
  - SMART (William & Mary and National Institute of Aerospace)
- Interactive synthesis from Lisp-like language to a HDL
   DRS (Derivation Systems, Inc. and Indiana University)

Allows a node that has suffered a transient fault to regain state consistent with the operational nodes. The node must regain:

- Clock synchronization
- Diagnostic data
- Dynamic scheduling data and other volatile state
- Developers: Wilfredo Torres-Pomales, Mahyar Malekpour, and Paul Miner (NASA)
- Formal Verification: Lee Pike (NASA)



- I: number of faulty nodes not accused by the reintegrator
- $\pi$ : maximum skew of nonfaulty nodes
- P: frame duration

- ► accs: ARRAY of booleans, one for each monitored node
- ▶ seen: ARRAY of naturals, one for each monitored node
- mode: {prelim\_diag, frame\_synch, synch\_capture}
- ▶ clock: ℝ<sup>0≤</sup>
- ▶ fs\_finish:  $\mathbb{R}^{0\leq}$
- ▶ pd\_finish: ℝ<sup>0≤</sup>

```
for each i, accs[i] := false;
mode := prelim_diag;
for each i, seen[i] := 0;
```

```
pd_finish := clock + P + \pi;
while clock < pd_finish do {
  for each i, when echo(i) do {
    if (seen[i] < 2 and not accs[i])
    then seen[i] := seen[i] + 1
    else accs[i] := true;
  };
};
for each i, if seen[i] = 0 then accs[i];
mode := frame_synch;
```

# Frame Synchronization Mode

```
for each i, seen[i] := 0;
fs_finish := clock:
while clock - fs_finish < \pi do {
 for each i, when echo(i) do {
   if (seen[i] = 0 \text{ and } not accs[i])
   then {
    fs_finish := clock;
    seen[i] := seen[i] + 1;
   };
   else accs[i] := true;
 };
}:
mode := synch_capture;
```

```
for each i, seen[i] := 0;
while seen_cnt \leq trusted/2 do {
  for each i, when echo(i) do {
    if (seen[i] = 0 and not accs[i])
    then seen[i] := seen[i] + 1;
  };
};
clock := 0;
```

## Theorem (No Operational Accusations)

For all operational nodes *i*, accs[*i*] does not hold during the reintegration protocol.

## Theorem (Synchronization Acquisition)

For all operational nodes *i*,  $|clock - echo(i)| < \pi$  upon termination of the reintegration protocol.

- A unified fault-tolerance protocol
- A fault-tolerant distributed system verification library
- Time-triggered schedule verification
- Case-study for research in model-checking, theorem-proving, and decision-procedures

- Intrusion-tolerance
- OS and middleware
- Flight-testing
- Self-stablization

#### Some Talks & Papers

http://www.cs.indiana.edu/~lepike/ Google: lee pike

### SPIDER Homepage

http://shemesh.larc.nasa.gov/fm/spider/
Google: formal methods spider

NASA Langley Research Center Formal Methods Group

http://shemesh.larc.nasa.gov/fm/

Google: nasa formal methods