Model Checking for the Practical Verificationist: A User's Perspective on SAL

Lee Pike leepike@galois.com

Galois Inc.

November 6, 2007

Goals (and non-Goals)

Goals:

- ► "Show-off" novel and/or useful language feature or tools.
- ▶ Begin a dialogue with other SAL users.

non-Goals:

- ► Provide a full SAL tutorial.
- ► Compare & contrast SAL to other model checkers.

... I could imagine a "SAL cookbook" or sets of libraries on the wiki being very useful.

Outline

- ► *Practical* invariants
- ► Higher-order functions

Not covered (but in the paper):

- ► Temporal refinement in SAL
- Environmental constraints
- ► Model checking + theorem-proving
 - Counterexample discovery
 - ► FMCAD'07 paper...

Cheap Invariants

- ► *k*-Induction to strengthen invariants *automatically*.
 - Generalizes induction over transition systems.
 - Automatic, but exponential in the size of *k*.
- ► Disjunctive invariants.
 - Each disjunction covers some configuration of the system.
 - Developed by Pneuli & Rushby, independently.
 - A disjunctive invariant can be built iteratively to cover the reachable states from the counterexamples returned by SAL for the hypothesized invariant being verified.

Okay, onto a definition of k-induction and two examples...

k-induction

Generalize from single transitions to trajectories of fixed length.

Consider a transition system $< S, S^0, \rightarrow >$. For safety property P, show

- ▶ **Base**: If $s_0 \in S^0$, then for all trajectories $s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow s_k$, $P(s_i)$ for $0 \le i \le k$;
- ▶ **IS**: For all trajectories $s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow s_k$, If $P(s_i)$ for $0 \le i \le k 1$, then $P(s_k)$.

Conclude that for all reachable s, P(s).

Induction is the special case when k = 1.

A SAL interlude...

SAL wishlist

- ► A type-checker that returns type-correctness conditions.
- ► More/better documentation for using the API.
- More tools for running proofs, outputting proofs, pretty-printing counterexamples, etc.

I've written a few I'll try to release.

Bold Claims

Two workshop bold claims:

- SAL/Yices obviates the need for specialized real-time model checkers.
- SAL/Yices will make the need for full mechanical theorem-proving obsolete in many domains.

Thanks!

- SAL coauthors: Geoffrey Brown, Paul Miner, Steve Johnson, and Wilfredo Torres-Pomales.
- Comments: Levent Erkök at Galois, Inc. and the workshop reviewers.

Web resources

Slides, specifications, and proofs
http://www.cs.indiana.edu/~lepike/pub_pages/afm07.html
Google: lee pike