Panel Discussion: Pervasive Formal Verification in Control System Design

Moderator: Lee Pike, Galois Inc.

Why Control Systems?



What's Not Working?

The Dangers of Failure Masking in Fault-Tolerant Software: Aspects of a Recent In-Flight Upset Event

C. W. Johnson^{*}, C. M. Holloway[†]

A Metro Train Control System Fails a Post-Crash Test

By Lena H. Sun and Maria Glod Washington Post Staff Writers Friday, June 26, 2009

A train control system that should have prevented Monday's deadly Metro crash failed in a test conducted by federal investigators, officials said yesterday, suggesting that a crucial breakdown of technology sent one train slamming into another



into another. Investigators with the National Transportation Safety Board

performed the simulation Wednesday night. In the test, investigators positioned a train in the same location as the train that was rear-ended Monday. The system failed to detect that the idled test train was there, the NTSB said. Investigators did not say what caused the malfunction, and they stopped short of saying the system failure caused the crash.

The test results are significant because they confirmed earlier findings of "anomalies" in an electrical track circuit in the crash area.

Jaguar Software Issue May Cause Cruise Control to Stay On

POSTED BY: ROBERT CHARETTE / TUE, OCTOBER 25, 2011

Share Share



🖾 Email 🗐 Print

There were reports late last week of a software glitch that affects 17,678 Jaguar X-type diesel models from the years 2006 to 2010. According to the <u>London</u> <u>Telegraph</u>, <u>Jaguar</u> sent out letters to its UK customers stating that "in some circumstances the <u>cruise control may not respond to the normal inputs</u>." If

a Jaguar driver finds that his or her cruise control doesn't disengage, Jaguar's advice is turn off the car's ignition.

W32.Stuxnet Dossier

Version 1.4 (February 2011)

Nicolas Falliere, Liam O Murchu, and Eric Chien





reconfigurable control





reconfigurable control







reconfigurable control







reconfigurable control







reconfigurable control









reconfigurable control



fault tolerance





field-bus communication





Why FMCAD?

- Sweet spot in HW/SW verification
- Researchers need real case-studies to drive tool development
- Something for everyone: interactive theoremproving, model-checking, decision procedures

Why FMCAD?

- Sweet spot in HW/SW verification
- Researchers need real case-studies to drive tool development
- Something for everyone: interactive theoremproving, model-checking, decision procedures

Save lives!



Why FMCAD?

- Sweet spot in HW/SW verification
- Researchers need real case-studies to drive tool development
- Something for everyone: interactive theoremproving, model-checking, decision procedures
- Save lives!



But...

There's a translation problem!

Themes

- What do control engineers worry about?
 - What *should* they worry about?
- What's the low-hanging fruit for FM?
- Where are tools for designing control systems heading?
- How can FM augment testing/simulation/modelbased design as it's done today?



- Darren Cofer, Rockwell Collins
- Eric Feron, Georgia Tech
- Natasha Neogi, National Institute of Aerospace
- Hakan Yazerel, Toyota